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NAS Report References

• “Establishing and enforcing best practices for forensic science professionals and laboratories”
  – How do we define “Best Practices”
  – What is the process by which “Best Practices” are developed, adopted and enforced?
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• “Establishing standards for the mandatory accreditation of forensic science laboratories…”

  – Who develops the standards?
    • ISO17025

  – What will be the enforcement mechanism?
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- "Standardized Terminology and Reporting"
  - Specific references made to "match," "consistent with," "identical"
  - Proposed reporting language is currently supported through some of the SWGs
  - What is the enforcement mechanism?
    - NAS Recommendation #2 cites "requiring the use of model laboratory reports" as "part of the accreditation and certification processes."
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“...most disciplines still lack best practices or any coherent structure for the enforcement of operating standards, certification, and accreditation.”

• The issue is whether the process in place is MANDATORY
NAS Report References

• “…establish protocols for forensic examinations, methods, and practices. Standards should reflect best practices and serve as accreditation tools for laboratories and guides for the education, training, and certification of professionals.”
  – What is the development process?
  – What is the implementation impact?
  – Will accrediting bodies now need to judge the ‘standards’ adopted by a lab?
WHERE DOES THE FORENSICS COMMUNITY GO FROM HERE?
Where Do We Go From Here?

• Define what constitutes a robust standard
  – What is the development process?
  – What are the minimum criteria within a standard?
  – What is the amendment/review process?
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• What should be standardized?
  – Specific techniques?
  – Reporting criteria?
  – Language used in reports?
  – Discovery information?
  – Evidence management?
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• Identify Standards Development Organizations
  – There are many forensic science organizations and associations in existence
    • Which actually DEVELOP standards versus promote or reference their existence?
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• Inventory Existing Standards
  – Is it truly a standard or a ‘best practice?’
  – What does this differentiation mean?

• Analyze Standards’ Abilities to Meet Needs
  – Do we need to define what ‘needs’ are to be met?
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• Conduct GAP Analysis

• Is there a process that can be designed to determine which standards to use and how they should be implemented?
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- Cost Estimates For:
  - Standards Development
    - SWGs?
    - ASTM?
    - Are the existing mechanisms ‘working?’

- Adaptation
  - What is the fiscal impact of adopting amended or new standards?
Additional Issues

• Access
  – Uniform and Equal

• Enforcement
  – Accreditation? Assumes accreditation will become mandatory?
  – What about forensic service providers outside of the crime laboratory?
Standards, Practices, & Protocols Interagency Working Group (SPPIWG)

• Co-Chairs:
  – Susan Ballou, NIST
    Sballou@nist.gov
  – Gerry LaPorte, NIJ
    Gerald.Laporte@usdoj.gov
  – Michael Wieners, FBI Laboratory
    Michael.Wieners@ic.fbi.gov
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